

THE additions to the Zoological Society's Gardens during the past week include a Lesser White-nosed Monkey (*Cercopithecus pelturista*) from West Africa, presented by Mr. T. Risely Griffith; a Gray Ichneumon (*Herpestes griseus*) from India, presented by Capt. J. Cutting; a Gray Squirrel (*Sciurus cinereus*) from North America, presented by Mrs. Charles Neck; a Golden Eagle (*Aquila chrysaetos*), European, presented by Mr. H. V. Knox; a Bronze-winged Pigeon (*Phaps chalcoptera*) from Australia, presented by Mr. Augustus F. Spry; a — Hang-nest (*Xanthosomus icterocephalus*) from Venezuela, a Song Thrush (*Turdus musicus*), British, deposited; a White-thighed Colobus (*Colobus vellerosus*), a Moustache Monkey (*Cercopithecus cephus*), a Ludio Monkey (*Cercopithecus ludio*) from West Africa, received in exchange.

### OUR ASTRONOMICAL COLUMN

THE LEYDEN OBSERVATORY.—Prof. H. G. van de Sande Bakhuyzen has published his Report for the year ending September 15, 1885. The work to which the meridian circle was devoted during the year was the continuation of the observation of a selected list of fairly bright stars situated in the immediate neighbourhood of the Pole. It is expected that this series of observations will be finished off during the present winter. With the 7-inch refractor, nine observations of Wolf's comet were made. Between October 1884 and March 1885, a series of measures have been made with Airy's double-image micrometer attached to this equatorial, for the purpose of determining the systematic errors of the measures of the diameters of Mars and Uranus obtained in former years. For this purpose, Prof. Bakhuyzen has measured the diameters of artificial disks, formed by circular holes in a copper plate, made so as to resemble, both in size and brightness, the planets themselves. The results of these investigations will be published shortly. The reduction of the meridian observations, 1877-85, is in a forward state, some parts being nearly completed. This work is intrusted to Dr. E. F. van de Sande Bakhuyzen, the First Observer. Some progress has also been made in the reduction of the zone observations, 1874-76. Prof. Bakhuyzen himself has been chiefly occupied with his monograph on the rotation-period of Mars, now published. In March 1885 work was commenced in connection with the erection of the new 10½-inch objective, and the instrument is now ready for use. The mounting has been supplied by the Repsolds, and the object-glass by Alvan Clark and Sons. Its performance, so far as it has yet been tested, appears to be remarkably good, and does not compare unfavourably with that of other instruments of similar size. In Prof. Bakhuyzen's hands it will doubtless do good work.

FABRY'S COMET.—Dr. H. Oppenheim gives the following ephemeris for this comet for Berlin midnight:—

1886	R.A.	Decl.	Log Δ	Log r
	h. m. s.	° ' "		
Jan. 17 ...	23 31 4	+21 53'4	0'2304	0'2025
19 ...	23 29 58	22 5'3		
21 ...	23 28 58	22 18'2	0'2316	0'1857
23 ...	23 28 3	22 32'1		
25 ...	23 27 14	22 46'9	0'2319	0'1682

BROOKS'S COMET.—The following elements and ephemeris have been computed for this comet by Dr. J. Palisa:—

$T = 1885 \text{ Nov. } 28^{\text{h}} 24^{\text{m}} 36^{\text{s}}$  Berlin M.T.

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \pi &= 301^{\circ} 29' 50'' \\ \Omega &= 262^{\circ} 30' 48'' \\ i &= 42^{\circ} 31' 27'' \end{aligned} \right\} \text{Mean Eq. } 1886^{\circ} 0.$$

$$\log q = 0.04091$$

Error of the middle place (0 - C).

$$d\lambda \cos \beta = + 4''.7 \quad d\beta = 4''.5$$

Ephemeris for Berlin Midnight

1886	R.A.	Decl.	Log Δ	Log r	Bright- ness.
	h. m. s.	° ' "			
Jan. 14 ...	21 5 25	+12 8'6	0'2921	0'1261	0'74
18 ...	21 20 46	13 48'6	0'2989	0'1377	0'68
22 ...	21 35 48	15 25'2	0'3064	0'1495	0'62
26 ...	21 50 48	+16 57'4	0'3146	0'1614	0'57

The brightness on December 28 is taken as unity.

BARNARD'S COMET.—For Barnard's comet Dr. H. Oppenheim gives the following ephemeris, also for Berlin midnight:—

1886	R.A.	Decl.	Log Δ	Log r
	h. m. s.	° ' "		
Jan. 17 ...	2 37 45	+11 14'7	0'2136	0'3193
19 ...	2 34 22	11 38'4		
21 ...	2 31 8	12 2'5	0'2173	0'3068
23 ...	2 28 4	12 27'0		
25 ...	2 25 9	12 51'8	0'2213	0'2937

GORE'S NOVA ORIONIS.—Dr. Copeland, examining the spectrum of this object at Lord Crawford's Observatory, Dun Echt, finds distinct evidence of a spectrum of bright bands superposed on a well-marked spectrum of the third type; these bright bands corresponding to those ordinarily seen in cometary spectra, and obtained in the spectrum of a coal-gas flame.

### ASTRONOMICAL PHENOMENA FOR THE WEEK 1886 JANUARY 17-23

(For the reckoning of time the civil day, commencing at Greenwich mean midnight, counting the hours on to 24, is here employed.)

At Greenwich on January 17

Sun rises, 8h. 0m.; souths, 12h. 10m. 24'5s.; sets, 16h. 21m.; decl. on meridian, 20° 42' S.: Sidereal Time at Sunset, oh. 9m.

Moon (Full on January 20) rises, 13h. 53m.; souths, 21h. 44m.; sets, 5h. 39m.\*; decl. on meridian, 18° 13' N.

Planet	Rises h. m.	Souths h. m.	Sets h. m.	Decl. on meridian
Mercury ...	6 40	10 36	14 32	22° 59' S.
Venus ...	9 12	14 39	20 6	7 14 S.
Mars ...	21 30*	4 0	10 30	5 9 N.
Jupiter ...	22 39*	4 38	10 37	1 6 S.
Saturn ...	14 15	22 25	6 35*	22 36 N.

\* Indicates that the rising is that of the preceding evening and the setting that of the following morning.

#### Occultations of Stars by the Moon

Jan.	Star	Mag.	Disap. h. m.	Reap. h. m.	Corresponding angles from ver- tex to right for inverted image
17 ...	117 Tauri...	6	15 38	16 28	33 26°
18 ...	130 Tauri...	6	0 54	1 59	124 31°
18 ...	26 Geminorum...	5½	20 37	21 44	43 27°
20 ...	1 Cancrī ...	6	2 3	2 49	61 33°
22 ...	37 Sextantis ...	6	19 16	20 7	33 22°

#### Variable Stars

Star	R.A. h. m.	Decl.	h. m.
U Cephei ...	0 52'2	81° 16' N.	Jan. 18, 0 2 m
Algol ...	3 0'8	40 31' N.	" 22, 23 41 m
ζ Geminorum ...	6 57'4	20 44' N.	" 19, 20 0 m
			" 18, 5 0 m
S Cancrī ...	8 37'4	19 27' N.	" 23, 7 30 M
δ Libræ ...	14 54'9	8 4' S.	" 19, 3 23 m
			" 19, 17 20 m
U Coronæ ...	15 13'6	32 4' N.	" 22, 1 11 m
U Ophiuchi ...	17 10'8	1 20' N.	" 19, 23 6 m
			" 17, 18 41 m
			and at intervals of 20 8
β Lyræ ...	18 45'9	30 14' N.	Jan. 19, 0 m
η Aquilæ ...	19 46'7	0 43' N.	" 19, 0 0 m
δ Cephei ...	22 24'9	57 50' N.	" 17, 0 0 M
			" 19, 0 0 m
			" 22, 19 0 m

M signifies maximum; m minimum.

### MR. AITKEN ON DEW<sup>1</sup>

THE first point referred to in this paper is the source of the vapour that condenses to form dew. A short historical sketch is given of the successive theories from time to time advanced on this point, showing how in early times dew was supposed to descend from the heavens, and then afterwards it was suggested that it rose from the earth, while Dr. Wells, who has justly been considered the great master of this

Abstract of Paper read before the Royal Society of Edinburgh on December 21, 1885, communicated by permission of the Council of the Society.

subject, thought it came neither from above nor from below, but was condensed out of the air near the surface of the earth. He combated Gersten's idea that it rose from the earth, and showed that all the phenomena observed by Gersten and others which were advanced to support this theory could be equally well explained according to the theory that it was simply formed from the vapour present at the time in the air, and which had risen from the ground during the day, and concluded that if any did rise from the ground during night, the quantity must be small, but, with great caution, he adds that "he was not acquainted with any means of determining the proportion of this part to the whole."

A few observations of the temperature of the ground near the surface and of the air over it, first raised doubts as to the correctness of the now generally-received opinion that dew is formed of vapour existing at the time in the air. These observations, made at night, showed the ground at a short distance below the surface to be always hotter than the air over it; and it was thought that so long as this excess is sufficient to keep the temperature of the surface of the ground above the dew-point of the air, it will, if moist, give off vapour; and it will be this rising vapour that will condense on the grass and form dew, and not the vapour that was previously present in the air.

The first question to be determined was whether vapour does, or does not, rise from the ground on dewy nights. One method tried of testing this point was by placing over the grass, in an inverted position, shallow trays made of thin metal and painted. These trays were put over the ground to be tested after sunset and examined at night, and also next morning. It was expected that, if vapour was rising from the ground during dewy nights, it would be trapped inside the trays. The result in all the experiments was that the inside was dewed every night, and the grass inside was wetter than that outside. On some nights there was no dew outside the trays, and on all nights the inside deposit was heavier than the outside one.

An analysis of the action of these trays is given, and it is concluded that they act very much the same as if the air was quite still. Under these conditions vapour will rise from the ground so long as the vapour-tension on the surface of the ground is higher than that at the top of the grass, and much of this rising vapour is, under ordinary conditions, carried away by the passing air, and mixed with a large amount of dryer air, whereas the vapour rising under the trays is not so diluted; and hence, though only cooled to the same amount as the air outside, it yields a heavier deposit of dew.

Another method of testing this point was employed, which consisted in weighing a small area of the exposed surface of the ground, as it was evident that if the soil gave off vapour during a dewy night, it must lose weight. A small turf about 6 inches (152 mm.) square, was cut out of the lawn and placed in a small shallow pan of about the same size. The pan with its turf, after being carefully weighed, was put out on the lawn in the place where the turf had been cut. It was exposed for some hours while dew was forming, and on these occasions it was always found to lose weight. It was thus evident that vapour was rising from the ground while dew was forming, and therefore the dew found on the grass was formed of part of the rising vapour, trapped or held back by coming into contact with the cold blades of grass.

The difference between these experiments in which the exposed bodies *lose* weight, and the well-known ones in which bodies are exposed to radiation, and the amount of dew formed is estimated by the *increase* in their weight, is pointed out. In the former case the bodies are in good heat-communication with the ground, whereas in the latter, little or no heat is received by conduction from the earth.

Another method employed for determining whether the conditions found in nature were favourable for dew rising from the ground on dewy nights, was by observations of the temperatures indicated by two thermometers, one placed on the surface of the grass, and the other under the surface, amongst the stems, but on the top of the soil. The difference in the readings of these two thermometers on dewy nights was found to be very considerable. From 10° to 18° F. was frequently observed. A minimum thermometer placed on, and another under, the grass, showed that during the whole night a considerable difference was always maintained. As a result of this difference of temperature, it is evident that vapour will rise from the hotter soil underneath, into the colder air above, and some of it will be trapped by coming into contact with the cold grass.

While the experiments were being conducted on grass land, parallel observations were made on bare soil. Over soil the

inverted traps collected more dew inside them than those over grass. A small area of soil was spread over a shallow pan, and after being weighed was exposed at the place where the soil had been taken out, to see if bare soil as well as grass lost weight during dewy nights. The result was that on all nights on which the tests were made the soil lost weight, and lost very nearly the same amount as the grass land.

Another method employed of testing whether vapour is rising from bare soil, or is being condensed upon it, consisted in placing on the soil, and in good contact with it, small pieces of black mirror, or any substance having a surface that shows dewing easily. In this way a small area of the surface of the earth is converted into a hygroscope, and these test-surfaces tell us whether the ground is cooled to the dew-point or not. So long as they remain clear and undewed, the surface of the soil is hotter than the dew-point, and vapour is being given off, while if they get dewed, the soil will also be condensing vapour. On all nights observed, these test-surfaces kept clear, and showed the soil to be always giving off vapour.

All these different methods of testing point to the conclusion that during dewy nights, in this climate, vapour is constantly being given off from grass-land, and almost always from bare soil; that the tide of vapour almost always sets outwards from the earth, and but rarely ebbs, save after being condensed to cloud and rain, or on those rarer occasions on which, after the earth has got greatly cooled, a warm moist air blows over it. The results of some of the experiments are given, showing, from weighings, the amount of vapour lost by the soil at night, and also the heat lost by the surface soil.

It seems probable that when the radiation is strong, that soil, especially if it is loose and not in good heat-communication with the ground, will get cooled below the dew-point, and have vapour condensed upon it. On some occasions the soil certainly got wetter on the surface, but the question still remains, Whence the vapour? Came it from the air, or from the soil underneath? The latter seems the more probable source: the vapour rising from the hot soil underneath will be trapped by the cold surface-soil, in the same way as it is trapped by grass over grass-land. During frost, opportunities are afforded of studying this point in a satisfactory manner, as the trapped vapour keeps its place where it is condensed. On these occasions the under sides of the clods, at the surface of the soil, are found to be thickly covered with hoar-frost, while there is little on their upper or exposed surfaces, showing that the vapour condensed on the surface-soil has come from below.

The next division of the subject is on dew on roads. It is generally said that dew forms copiously on grass, while none is deposited on roads, because grass is a good radiator and cools quicker, and cools more, than the surface of a road. It is shown that the above statement is wrong, and that dew really does form abundantly on roads, and that the reason it has not been observed is that it has not been sought for at the correct place. We are not entitled to expect to find dew on the surface of roads as on the surface of grass, because stones are good conductors of heat, and, the vapour-tension being higher underneath than above the stones, the result is, the rising vapour gets condensed on the under sides of the stones. If a road is examined on a dewy night, and the gravel turned up, the under sides of the stones are found to be dripping wet.

Another reason why no dew forms on the surface of roads is that the stones, being fair conductors, and in heat-communication with the ground, the temperature of the surface of the road is, from observations taken on several occasions, higher than that of the surface of the grass alongside. The air in contact with the stones is, therefore, not cooled so much as that in contact with the grass.

For studying the formation of dew on roads, slates were found to be useful. One slate was placed over a gravelly part of the road, and another over a hard dry part. Examined on dewy nights the under sides of these slates were always found to be dripping wet, while their upper surfaces, and the ground all round, were quite dry.

The importance of the heat communicated from the ground is illustrated by a simple experiment with two slates or two iron weights, one of them being placed on the ground, either on grass or on bare soil, and the other elevated a few inches above the surface. The one resting on the ground, and in heat-communication with it, is found always to keep dry on dewy nights, whereas the elevated one gets dewed all over.

The effect of wind in preventing the formation of dew is referred to. It is shown that, in addition to the other ways



already known, wind hinders the formation of dew by preventing an accumulation of moist air near the surface of the ground.

An examination of the different forms of vegetation was made on dewy nights. It was soon evident that something else than radiation and condensation was at work to produce the varied appearances then seen on plants. Some kinds of plants were found to be wet, while others of a different kind, and growing close to them, were dry, and even on the same plant some branches were wet, whilst others were dry. The examination of the leaf of a broccoli plant showed better than any other that the wetting was not what we might expect if it were dew. The surface of the leaf was not wet all over, and the amount of deposit on any part had no relation to its exposure to radiation, or access to moist air; but the moisture was collected in little drops, placed at short distances apart, along the very edge of the leaf. Closer examination showed that the position of these drops had a close relation to the structure of the leaf; they were all placed at the points where the veins in the leaf came to the outer edge, at once suggesting that these veins were the channels through which the liquid had been expelled. An examination of grass revealed a similar condition of matters: the moisture was not equally distributed over the blade, but was in drops attached to the tips of some of the blades. These drops, seen on vegetation on dewy nights, are therefore not dew at all, but are an effect of the vitality of the plant.

It is pointed out that the excretion of drops of liquid by plants is no new discovery, as it has been long well known, and the experiments of Dr. Moll on this subject are referred to; but what seems strange is that the relation of it to dew does not seem to have been recognised.

Some experiments were made on this subject in its relation to dew. Leaves of plants that had been seen to be wet on dewy nights were experimented on. They were connected by means of an india-rubber tube with a head of water of about 1 metre, and the leaf surrounded with saturated air. All were found to exude a watery liquid after being subjected to pressure for some hours, and a broccoli leaf got studded all along its edge with drops, and presented exactly the same appearance it did on dewy nights. A stem of grass was also found to exude at the tips of one or two blades when pressure was applied.

The question as to whether these drops are really exuded by the plant, or are produced in some other way, is considered. The tip of a blade of grass was put under conditions in which it could not extract moisture from the surrounding air, and, as the drop grew as rapidly under these conditions as did those on the unprotected blades, it is concluded that these drops are really exuded by the plant. Grass was found to get "dewed" in air not quite saturated.

On many nights no true dew is formed, and nothing but these exuded drops appear on the grass; and on all nights when vegetation is active, these drops appear before the true dew, and if the radiation is strong enough and the supply of vapour sufficient, true dew makes its appearance, and now the plants get equally wet all over, in the same manner as dead matter. The difference between true dew on grass, and these exuded drops, can be detected at a glance. The drops are always exuded at a point near the tip of the blade, and form a drop of some size, while true dew is distributed all over the blade. The exuded liquid forms a large diamond-like drop, while the dew coats the blade with a pearly lustre.

Towards the end of the paper the radiating powers of different surfaces at night is considered, and after a reference to some early experiments on this subject, the paper proceeds to describe some experiments made with the radiation-thermometer described by the author in a previous paper. When working with this instrument it is placed in a situation having a clear view of the sky all round, and is fixed at the same height as the ordinary thermometer-screen, which is worked along with it, the difference between the thermometer in the screen and the radiation-thermometer being observed. This difference in clear nights amounts to from  $7^{\circ}$  to  $10^{\circ}$ . By means of the radiation-thermometer the radiating powers of different surfaces were observed. Black and white cloths were found to radiate equally well; soil and grass were also almost exactly equal to each other. Lamp-black was equal to whitening. Sulphur was about  $\frac{2}{3}$  of black paint, and polished tin about  $\frac{1}{7}$  of black paint. Snow in the shade on a bright day was at midday  $7^{\circ}$  colder than the air, while a black surface at the same time was only  $4^{\circ}$  colder. This difference diminished as the sun got lower, and at night both radiated almost equally well. In the concluding pages of the paper some less important subjects are considered.

## TELESCOPIC SEARCH FOR THE TRANS-NEPTUNIAN PLANET<sup>1</sup>

IN the twentieth volume of the *American Journal of Science*, at page 225, I gave a preliminary account of my search, theoretic and practical, for the trans-Neptunian planet. I say the trans-Neptunian planet, because I regard the evidence of its existence as well-founded, and further, because, since the time when I was engaged upon this search, nothing has in the least weakened my entire conviction as to its existence in about that part of the sky assigned; while, as is well known, the independent researches in cometary perturbations by Prof. Forbes conducted him to a result identical with my own,—a coincidence not to be lightly set aside as pure accident.

That five years have elapsed since this coincidence was remarked, and the planet is still unfound, is not sufficient assurance to me that its existence is merely fanciful. In so far as I am informed, this spot of the sky has received very little scrutiny with telescopes competent to such a search; and most observers finding nothing would, I suspect, prefer not to announce their ineffective search.

The time has now come when this search can be profitably undertaken by any observer having the rare combination of time, enthusiasm, and the necessary appliances. Strongly marked developments in astronomical photography have been effected since this optical search was conducted; and the capacity of the modern dry-plate for the registry of the light of very faint stars makes the application of this method the shortest and surest way of detecting any such object. Nor is this purely an opinion of my own. But the required apparatus would be costly; and the instrument, together with the services of an astronomer and a photographer, would, for the time being, be necessarily devoted exclusively to the work. While, however, the photographic search might have to be ended with a negative result, in so far as the trans-Neptunian planet is concerned, there would still remain the series of photographic maps of the region explored, and these would be of incalculable service in the astronomy of the future.

In the latter part of the paper alluded to above, I stated the speculative basis upon which I restricted the stellar region to be examined; also the fact that between November of 1877 and March of 1878 I was engaged in a telescopic scrutiny of this region, employing the twenty-six-inch refractor of the Naval Observatory. For the purposes contemplated I had no hesitation in adopting the method of search whereby I expected to detect the planet by the contrast of its disk and light with the appearance of an average star of about the thirteenth magnitude. A power of 600 diameters was often employed, but the field of view of this eye-piece was so restricted that a power of 400 diameters had to be used most of the time. I say, too, that, "after the first few nights, I was surprised at the readiness with which my eye detected any variation from the average appearance of a star of a given faint magnitude: as a consequence whereof my observing-book contains a large stock of memoranda of suspected objects. My general plan with these was to observe with a sufficient degree of accuracy the position of all suspected objects. On the succeeding night of observation they were re-observed; and, at an interval of several weeks thereafter, the observation was again verified." Subjoined to the original observations are printed these verifications in heavy-faced type.

In conducting the search, the plans were several times varied in slight detail,—generally because experience with the work enabled me to make improvements in method. Usually I prepared every few days a new zone-chart the region of over which I was about to search; and these charts, while containing memoranda of all the instrumental data which could be prepared beforehand, were likewise so adjusted with reference to the opposition-time of the planet as to avoid, if possible, its stationary point. The same thing, too, was kept in mind in selecting the times of subsequent observation. Notwithstanding this precaution, however, it would be well if some observer who has a large telescope should now re-examine the positions of these objects.

Researches in faint nebulae and nebulous stars appearing likely to constitute a separate and interesting branch of the astronomy of the future, it has seemed to me that the astronomers engaged in this work may like to make a careful examination of some of the stars entered in my observing-book under the category of "suspected object." The method I adopted of

<sup>1</sup> By David P. Todd, M.A., from the *Proceedings of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences*.